

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 09/521,000	03/07/2000	Julie T. Dawe	10991706-1	1135	
22879	7590 03/23/2004	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
	PACKARD COMPANY	BRINICH, ST	BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLI	LINS, CO 80527-2400		2624	\	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	· te	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and T. Lemark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
			EXAMINER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
			·	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

		1		A	
		Application No		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/521,000		DAWE ET AL.	
		Examiner	·	Art Unit	
·		Stephen M Brini		2624	
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	nis communication app	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above is left. No period for reply is specified above.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (6)	COMMUNICATION.  er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ate of this communication.  ess than thirty (30) days, a repl the maximum statutory period to period for reply will, by statute to three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory miwill apply and will expire to cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONEL	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	lly. communication.
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on 05 Ja	anuary 2004.			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-fir	al.		
3) Since this application is i	n condition for allowa	nce except for fo	rmal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance wit	n the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			•		
4)	is/are withdraw owed. and 24-30 is/are rejected to.	wn from conside	ration.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is object	ed to by the Examine	er.	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a) <u>□</u> acc	epted or b)∐ ob	jected to by the E	xaminer.	
Applicant may not request t	nat any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	I in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing shee	(s) including the correct	tion is required if th	e drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the	e attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul><li>2.  Certified copies of</li><li>3.  Copies of the certified</li></ul>	None of: the priority document the priority document ied copies of the prior e International Bureau	s have been reco s have been reco rity documents h u (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application ave been receiven (a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892		4) 🗌	Interview Summary (		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw     Information Disclosure Statement(s)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-	5) [	Paper No(s)/Mail Dail Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/521,000

Art Unit: 2624

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-12, 14-22, & 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shaughnessy et al. or Ng et al.

Re claims 1-2, 4-6, 11-13, 14-16, 21-22, & 24-26,

Shaughnessy et al. (Abstract; column 5, line 66 - column 6, line 59; Figure 3a) and Ng et al. (Abstract; column 5, line 10 - column 6, line 2; Figure 3) each disclose a system for selectively processing selected portions of a document image in which a predetermined color ink (highlighter pen) is applied to annotate user-selected regions of a document with alphanumeric codes. When the document is scanned, these alphanumeric codes are detected, recognized via optical character recognition, and stored (Shaughnessy et al. column 9, lines 11-33; Ng et al. column 7, lines 42-63) as annotations to be used in the following document processing. The regions associated with each

Application/Control Number: 09/521,000 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

are automatically determined by the processing software; these document regions are then each processed in accordance with their respective annotated instructions.

Re claims 7-10, 17-20, & 27-30, the resulting processed documents are then printed with the appearance of the annotation characters removed (e.g. the region selected by the user and annotated "X-5" would be shifted five units along the X axis, and printed without "X-5" appearing superimposed thereupon).

Re claims 7, 9, 17, 19, 27, & 29, a first printout used as a test print prior to the generation of a final printout is readable upon a (not further defined) recitation of a "preview image".

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 26 November 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re claims 1, 11, & 22 (and dependent claims 2, 4-10, 12, 14-20, 22, & 24-30), Applicant argues (Paper #7: page 8, line 16 - page 10, line 6, particularly page 9, lines 16-22) that the Shaughnessy et al. and Ng et al. references do not teach the claimed elements of detecting handwriting annotations and saving them.

Application/Control Number: 09/521,000 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

However Shaughnessy et al. (column 9, lines 11-33) and Ng et al. (column 7, lines 42-63) each describe the detection and saving of handwriting document annotations.

Examiner recognized that the stored data in these references are in the form of operation instructions associated with particular annotation characters rather than in the form of the text strings composed of these annotation characters.

However, it is not clear that the language of the claims specifically requires the latter or excludes the former.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/521,000

Art Unit: 2624

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Munyan discloses (column 9, lines 5-29) a further example of detecting and saving handwritten character annotations.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 703-305-4390. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech.

Center 2600 Customer Service center at 703-306-0377.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 703-308-7452.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9306.

Stephen M Brinich Examiner Art Unit 2624

smb **Smb** March 11, 2004

THOMAS D.

TOWNT LEE

PRIMARY EXAMINER